ARTICLES SCIENCE & RESEARCH

CHD Files FOIA Requests: Why Did Government Shut Down Studies on Cellphone Radiation and Cancer?

The report out of the $30 million cellphone radiation studies is the most comprehensive and well-conducted research ever undertaken, but the studies that the National Institute of Environmental and Health Sciences to discontinue the studies, despite previous research finding evidence of cancer and DNA damage related to cellphone use.

By Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D.

***Miss a day, miss a lot.** Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. It's free.*

Children's Health Defense (CHD) today filed Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for documents and communications related to the agency's decision to discontinue studies on the potential health effects of cellphone radiofrequency radiation (RFR) — even though the agency, a top NIH institute, previously spent $30 million and completed the $25 million, 10-year National Toxicology Program (NTP) cellphone radiation studies.

"We think it is important to understand what led to this decision, because we know the two studies [the NTP's] interests play a significant role in the work of CHD's Electromagnetic Radiation (EMR) & Wireless program.

Elizabeth Evitts Dickinson, the Defender, said, "It's truly astonishing that the government has decided to stop research into the health effects of wireless radiation instead of deepening it, in light of ever-growing evidence of harm."

CHD sent two requests to the National Institute of Environmental and Health Sciences (NIEHS), a subagency of NIH, whose scientists had been studying wireless radiation.

The requests are for key communications and study documents that could shed light on the research government scientists were conducting when before it was shut down, and what factors led to the shut-down.

"By working together at the outset," CHD staff attorney Eva Evans wrote in the NIEHS letter, "we can decrease the likelihood of time-consuming and time-consuming litigation in the future."

"The Wuhan Cover-Up" by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

ORDER NOW

Why stop studying wireless radiation now?

As The Defender reported, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) in January announced via an updated fact sheet that it has no plans to study the health effects of cellphone RFR on human health.

This was after the program's $30 million study, published in 2018, found "clear evidence" that RFR exposure was linked to malignant heart tumors, "some evidence" pointing to malignant brain tumors, and that "exposure to cell phone RFR is linked to both malignant and benign adrenal gland tumors and DNA damage in rats.

NTP is an "interagency partnership" of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, and the NIEHS.

After publishing the study, government scientists conducted follow-up studies on the impact of RFR exposure on behavior and stress — especially stress on the heart, according to the NTP's cellphone RFR website.

The follow-up studies also sought to "evaluate further" the 2018 study finding that RFR exposure caused DNA damage.

The research was "technically challenging and more resource intensive than expected" and "no further work" is planned, according to NTP's RFR website.

According to the NTP website, the program "strives to remain at the cutting edge of scientific research and the development and application of new technologies for modern toxicology and human health assessment." It provides the scientific basis for programs, activities, and policies that promote health or lead to the prevention of disease."

"So why would its scientists stop studying wireless radiation?" asked Elizabeth Garcia. "We want to know."

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's new book: "Vax-Unvax"

ORDER NOW

'I thought that was the right next step'

Brian Berridge, DVM, Ph.D., NTP's scientific director until January 2023, confirmed that the follow-up studies were underway during his tenure and that the decision to no longer study RFR happened after he stepped down.

"The work was being done while I was there — was with my blessing," he told The Defender. "I thought that was the right next step."

Large studies like the one the NTP did in 2018, Berridge said, raise questions that "ultimately take additional research" to answer and understand.

For example, the follow-up studies were looking at whether the negative health effects of RFR — such as cancer — "can be reversed" and whether DNA damage could rightly be attributed to RFR or to some other aspect of the experimental conditions, such as being 24/7 in a small metal chamber.

CHD's first FOIA request seeks more details about what the follow-up studies entailed.

The request asked agency officials to hand over "all protocols, standard operating procedures, and other records describing the methods, procedures, and/or study goals of every study planned or conducted by DTT (Division of Translational Toxicology) to follow up on the rodent studies previously conducted by the National Toxicology Program."

Your support helps fund this work, and CHD's tax-exempt advocacy, education and research.

DONATE NOW

Four government employees named in FOIA request

CHD's second FOIA request asked for all communications between key researchers and officials from Feb. 1, 2023, to Feb. 1, 2024 — when NTP appeared to be conducting follow-up studies and when it decided to discontinue the research.

The FOIA request names four government employees:

- Robert C. Sills, DVM, Ph.D., who at the time was the acting scientific director of NIEHS' DTT. Scientists with DTT — who commonly work on NTP's projects — were the ones conducting the follow-up studies, according to the NTP website.
- Stephanie Smith Hoe, Ph.D., the DTT scientist supervising the follow-up studies, according to a former government scientist who chose to remain anonymous.
- Nigel J. Walker, Ph.D., acting chief of DTT's Systems Toxicology Branch, who was responsible for managing the follow-up studies, according to another former government scientist who chose to remain anonymous.
- Rick Woychik, Ph.D., director of NIEHS, who sets the budget for NIEHS, including DTT, according to Sherry Dorris, RN, MPH, a toxicologist and epidemiologist who served on the NTP's board of scientific counselors in the 1980s when it launched.

The request seeks communications related to the funding and termination of the studies.

It's unclear whether some of the follow-up studies were prematurely terminated or whether they had simply completed their research goals, with no further studies planned.

For instance, the NTP cellphone RFR website states that the researchers had completed "feasibility testing" of a small-scale RFR exposure system for dog research.

It appears they planned to use the small-scale RFR exposure system to "determine the impact of RFR exposure on behavior and stress, conduct real-time physiological monitoring, including evaluation of heart rate, investigate whether RFR exposure induces hearing, and evaluate further whether RFR exposure causes DNA damage."

But the NTP website does not say whether researchers had achieved these research goals or, if they hadn't yet, at what point in the research process the decision was made to no longer study wireless radiation. It only states:

> "The research using this small-scale RFR exposure system has successfully met its challenging and more resource intensive than expected.
>
> "In addition, this exposure system was designed to study the frequencies and modulations used in 2G and 3G devices, but is not representative of newer technologies such as 4G/4G-LTE, or 5G (which is still not fully defined).
>
> "Taking these factors into consideration, no further work with this RFR exposure system will be conducted and NIEHS has no further plans to conduct additional RFR exposure studies at this time."

The FOIA request also targets communications related to the overall execution and management of the follow-up studies, and it seeks emails or other communications containing any follow-up study data for interpretation, evaluation or review.

NIEHS deflects questions about the studies

Before CHD filed the FOIA requests, The Defender reached out repeatedly to various NIEHS staff for information about the follow-up studies and the decision to end RFR research.

Some of the questions The Defender asked included:

- Where can the public find the studies' standard operating procedures and protocols?
- What is the status of the data gathered in the follow-up studies? Was it ever reviewed, and if so, by whom? If not, why not?
- The NTP cellphone RFR website states, "NIEHS has completed the feasibility testing of this small-scale RFR exposure system, and the results will be made publicly available when publication of the research papers are finished." What sort of "internal reviews" are being conducted? By whom? What is the anticipated completion date of the reviews and when will the results be published?
- An earlier version of NTP's cellphone RF radiation webpage (updated Nov. 30, 2023) stated that these studies were expected to be published in 2023-2024. Why haven't the studies been published?
- Why would U.S. civilian government efforts to study the potential impacts of wireless radiation stop as the U.S. Department of Defense continues to study the problem and as the European Union has provided multi-million dollar grants for multidisciplinary studies?

The NIEHS Office of Communications recently told The Defender that NTP's cellphone RFR website "has all the information we have to share at this time. We will update the webpage when we have more information."

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!

First Name... Last Name... Email... SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D.
Suzanne Burdick, Ph.D., is a reporter and researcher for The Defender based in Fairfield, Iowa.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Got It

11 Comments Login

Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name

Share

Sort Newest Oldest

Nahnjaquel 3 days ago
Why study something when you already know the answer, and you do not want anyone to know what that answer is?

Reply

Kim from Brooklyn 4 days ago
At this point, even the ignorant to world politics, etc. can see the truth. Everything is corrupt in one way or another. They feed each other at the expense of the people.

Watch what happens when they no longer need you. ?

This, I believe is what is happening.

Reply

Gary Bowen 4 days ago
Mar 4, 2024 Kent Chamberlin PhD Wireless Health Effects: Cell Towers and 5G

Reply

Ricky Rhoads Gary Bowen
4 days ago
I have a device that tests CAT5/6 ethernet cables it includes a remote that detects EMF signals (Tony Electricity - no, electricity doesn't just travel through the wires) it goes on audible signal. The stronger the signal, the louder it gets. People would be shocked at the number of frequencies that we are exposed to on a daily basis. Even in the middle of the Pacific Ocean on fishing charters, I see four television stations! Hours that for an invisible trouble?

Reply

Gary Bowen Ricky Rhoads
4 days ago
A great comment and analogy friend!

Reply

chrjohje 4 days ago
"... the agency's decision to discontinue studies on the potential health effects of cellphone radiofrequency radiation (RFR) — even though the $30 million study, completed in 2018, found evidence of cancer and DNA damage."

That explains the NIH decision. Further honest study would confirm conclusions of the 10 year study. Can't have that happen. There is too much at stake. $Billions. After Lazarus died, the Harvard Patriot study of USDOD, they wanted to do more studies to see whether H1 and H2 or H3 or H4 or H5 or H6 or H7 or H8 or H9 or H10 or H11 or H12 or H13 or H14 or H15 was the ballpark of the under reporting factor on NIOSH. Steve Kirsch came up with an under reporting factor of 41, compared to 100 for H-1! Further testing would have been an embarrassment for the CDC.

Reply

Whatshegot 4 days ago Chrjohje
Well these studies done on mice were only testing 5G, and 4G! Not 5G! I just saw some cellphone ad talking about 10G!

Reply

Ricky Rhoads 4 days ago
People continually ask different questions to bring to the info of this society... The answer is always the same. The love of Money, Power and Control!

Reply

Gary Bowen Ricky Rhoads
4 days ago
The issue is as old as time itself. Power tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely." Lord Acton

Reply

Mark Allen 4 days ago
We live in a world of lies, but truth ultimately prevails. Life and the universe obey laws and principles, being corrupt will fail in the end.

Reply

Psychomom 4 days ago edited
"Military Microwave Weapons Used on U.S. Civilians."

1) More than 300,000 Targeted Individuals in the U.S., are being tracked and attacked with High-Powered Microwave (HPM) weapons, **from satellites and cell towers, 24/7**. These weapons are controlled from Schriever Air Force Base in Colorado. See our pictures of Schriever Air Force Base and the Satellite Control Facility.

This is the worst weapon system, used on the U.S. Diplomats in Cuba, resulting in "Havana Syndrome."

Many famous people are Targeted Individuals: Ed Snowden, Julian Assange, and William Binney. Roseanne Barr, Carol B. Jore Mitchell, Van Morrison, "Senator Ron Johnson" (R-WI), Dr. Steven Greer MD, Randy Quaid, Dennis Kucinich (former Congressman), Brian Wilson (Beach Boys), Billy Koch (former Oakland A's player), John Frusciante (Red Hot Chili Peppers), Jeff Conaway, Jim Keith, etc. They are RF-HPM microwave attacks, or Neurophonic, or Mind-Control (CIA) every day.

2) Most people in the world, are being precisely tracked by microwave pulses using Lockheed EPFS satellites, operated by the U.S. Space Force, Department of Defense, Space Force Space Delta 6, Space Operations. The microwave pulses are used to track, control,

see more

Reply

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:
ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

TAKE ACTION
DONATE FREE SIGN UP! BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax-deductible in the 2,01 extent of the law. EIN 43-2089609

Children's Health Defense

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. CHD combats the chronic disease epidemic by ending exposure to the toxins responsible for environmental illnesses, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to protect future generations. We fight corruption, build sustainable and censorship that put profits before people as well as promote the solutions that will restore health to our children and our world.

© 2018 - 2024 Children's Health Defense®. All Rights Reserved | Sitemap